(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1886 /2004

PETITIONER : Ramesh Chandra Gupta, S/o Late Shri Raghuveer Chandra Gupta, aged 55 years, Occupation-Service presently working as Assistant Engineer (E/M), E/M Circle, Water Resources Department, Raipur, R/o Advani Colony, Beergaon, Raipur (C.G.)

-VERSUS-

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Water Resources Department, D.K.S.Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Engineer-in-Chief, Water Respources Department, State of Chhattisgarh, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)
3. K.N.Sahu, Assistant Engineer, Water Resources Department.
4. S.R.Sirsaj, Assistant Engineer, Water Resources Department

   Both respondents 3 & 4 are presently in the Office of Executive Engineer (E/M), Light Machinery, Lift & Gates Division, Raipur (C.G.)
5. M.S.Basra, Assistant Engineer, Water Resources Department, presently working as Executive Engineer under Superintending Engineer, E/M Circle, Raipur (C.G.)
6. K.K.Mukherjee, Assistant Engineer (E/M), Water Resources Department presently posted in the Office of Executive Engineer (E/M), Heavy Earthmoving Machines Division, Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1900/04 Presented by Shri Rajnish Singhy dated 25.07.04

RECEIVED COPY 5/7/04 Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

Recd

4179/04

5/7/04

WRIT UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDERS OR DIRECTION.

XI-HC-22

(64)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1886/ सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	2-8-2004 Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, Govt. Advocate, for the State. I.A.No.4431/2004 for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. The matter relates to seniority in the final gradation list. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner made a representation as per Annexure P/7 but at the time of preparation of final gradation list the representation has not been considered. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 15 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law after hearing the parties including respondents no. 3 to 6, as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P.No.1688/2004 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

Pathak

[पीछे देखिये